

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,780	08/26/2003	Pierre Rondeau	RP-00128-US25	2003
28735	7590 05/20/2005		EXAM	INER
	IER RECREATIONA	FLEMING, FAYE M		
PO BOX 230	JAL PROPERTY DEP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NORTON, V	T 05907-0230		3616	

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	4			
		10/647,780	RONDEAU ET AL.	,			
Office Action Summa	ary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Faye M. Fleming	3616				
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	ommunication app	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the pafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the lift the period for reply specified above, the mailing period for reply is specified above, the mailing to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: this communication. an thirty (30) days, a reply iximum statutory period w d for reply will, by statute, e months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a r y within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1.			
Status	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 						
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on 03 M	lav 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.		action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 9-12 and 25-27 is/ar 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9-12 and 25-27 is/ar 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objecte 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to	is/are withdrawd. The rejected. The discountries are rejected. The discountries are rejected.	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to	o by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that a		•	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) in 11) The oath or declaration is obje		_	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d d Office Action or form PTO-152.).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			,				
	ne of: priority documents priority documents copies of the prior ernational Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re 	eview (PTO-948)	ال (4) الله Interview S Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/3/04.5/3/05.			nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claim language "...and being seated on the main seat portion with its feet disposed on the front footrests and its hands disposed on the handlebars..." and "...and being seated on the secondary seat portion with its feet disposed on the rear footrests and its hands disposed on the grab handles" is improper because the passenger is a non-statutory subject. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 9-12 and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haynes (6,105,721) in view of Katsuoka (4,527,831).

Haynes teaches an ATV comprising a frame; at least three wheels suspended from the frame, at least one of which is a front wheel and at least one of which is a rear wheel; a power unit for driving at least one of the wheels disposed on the frame; a

Application/Control Number: 10/647,780

Art Unit: 3616

straddle-type seat disposed on the frame, the seat including a main seat portion; and a steering member for steering at least one of the wheels. The at least three wheels comprise only four wheels, two of which are front wheels and two of which are rear wheels; each of the wheels includes a tire; and the steering member comprises handlebars. As shown in figure 3, Haynes teaches a right and a left passenger grab handles.

Page 3

Haynes teaches the claimed invention except for a secondary seat portion rearward of the main seat portion. Katsuoka teaches a motorcycle comprising a straddle-type seat including a main seat portion 20 and a secondary seat portion 24 rearward of and adjacent to the main seat portion, wherein the secondary seat has a back rest and including a support 21. Based on the teachings of Katsuoka, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the ATV of Haynes to include a secondary seat rearward of and adjacent to the main seat portion to provide an improved seating arrangement.

With respect to the wheelbase, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the wheelbase a specific size, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

With respect to the back rest, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the back rest positioned in front of the rear axis a specific distance, since

Art Unit: 3616

it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Regarding claim 12, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have two back rest supports to ensure the back rest is secure, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed May 3, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The references clearly teach the claimed structure.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faye M. Fleming whose telephone number is (571) 272-6672. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (571) 272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3616

fmf